

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and
the Environment

to

**Traffic and Parking Working Party and
Cabinet Committee**

on

11th January 2010

Agenda
Item No.

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry, Team Leader
(Demand Management)

**Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders
Southbourne Grove, Westbourne Grove and Rosary Gardens
Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite
*A Part 1 Public Agenda Item***

1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee to consider objections to advertised traffic regulation orders, and make an appropriate decision.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party consider the objections to the order and officers comments as detailed in Appendix 1 of this report.
- 2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and
 - 2.2.1 Make the Orders without amendments, or
 - 2.2.2 Make the Orders with amendments, or
 - 2.2.3 Take no further action

In the event of either 2.2.1 or 2.2.2 being agreed to authorise the making of the Orders as advertised, or amend to reduce the impact, as appropriate.

3. Background

- 3.1 Following the expiry of an experimental Order which prohibited parking for part of the morning and afternoon in Westbourne Grove and Southbourne Grove, Members agreed to the advertisement of permanent Orders designed to maintain the existing prohibitions. As part of the process an adjoining street had also requested proposals to resolve parking difficulties.
- 3.2 The permanent Order was advertised in September 2009 and the objections detailed in Appendix 1 of the report received.

4. Other Options

4.1 All options are detailed above

5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 To maintain existing prohibitions, which have proved successful in deterring all day parking by local workers.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

The proposal is based on a reduction of potential traffic hazards therefore resulting in safer roads.

6.2 Financial Implications

Traffic Regulation Orders require formal advertisements, which has been undertaken and funded through existing budgets.

6.3 Legal Implications

The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

6.4 People Implications

None, all signage and lining is in place.

6.5 Property Implications

None

6.6 Consultation

Formal consultation has been undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street.

7. Background Papers

None

8. Appendices

8.1 Appendix 1 – details of objections and officers comments/recommendations